



Fitch Assigns Aker BP First Time 'BBB-' Rating; Outlook Stable

Fitch Ratings - London - 04 June 2019:

Fitch Ratings has assigned Aker BP ASA a Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) of 'BBB-'. The Outlook is Stable.

Aker BP is one of the largest independent companies operating on the Norwegian Continental Shelf (NCS). Its 'BBB-' rating and Stable Outlook are supported by (i) the expected increase in production to above 200 thousand barrels of oil equivalent (kboepd) by 2020, driven by the start-up of the very large Johan Sverdrup field in 4Q19, where Aker BP has a 11.6% stake and where we see execution risks as low; (ii) its potential to increase production and optionality with regards to growth and capex plans; (iii) low production and full-cycle costs; (iv) relatively low leverage and conservative financial policy, with the target of maintaining net debt to EBITDAX below 1.5x; (v) the stable operating environment in Norway, where taxes are high but the state supports the industry by offering favourable tax incentives, eg for exploration and decommissioning; and (vi) robust liquidity, which should enable the company to proceed with its growth plans.

Constraints on the rating include (i) Aker BP's new progressive dividend plan, which could put its free cash flow (FCF) generation under some pressure; (ii) its high capital intensity in 2019 and potentially beyond; and (iii) some uncertainty with regards to the timing and scope of its non-sanctioned projects, in particular North of Alvheim Krafla Askja (NOAKA), which may have an impact on the company's near-term financial profile.

Key Rating Drivers

Large Independent Producer In Norway: Aker BP is one of the largest independent exploration and production (E&P) companies operating in Norway. According to the Norwegian Petroleum Directorate, Aker BP holds 10% of total oil reserves in the country (making it the third-largest liquids producer after state-controlled Equinor and fully state-owned Petoro), and has the highest number of licenses after Equinor. Unlike some of its peers, Aker BP is the operator in most of its projects, and has the highest production growth potential amongst peers in the region, based on management's guidance.

Rising Production: Our base case forecasts Aker BP's oil and gas production rising from 154kboepd in 2018, including 79% of liquids, to around 210kboepd in 2020 (mainly on the back of Johan Sverdrup) and to around 240kboepd in 2023. This assumes that around 70% of the company's prospective projects are sanctioned, excluding NOAKA. Management guides that production could potentially exceed 300kboepd by 2025 (or 400kboepd, including NOAKA).

Johan Sverdrup On Track: Johan Sverdrup will be the largest contributor to Aker BP's near-term production growth. Aker BP has a 11.6% stake in the project, which is operated by Equinor and is expected to come on-stream in November 2019 and to ramp-up fairly quickly to the full capacity of 440kboepd (50kboepd net to Aker BP). Phase 2 will add 220kboepd to gross and 25kboepd to net production from 2023. We view execution risks as fairly low given that an estimated 90% of the project has been completed to date. Owing to its size, John Sverdrup has very strong economics with breakeven oil price below USD20/bbl, and should help Aker BP further reduce its already low production costs.

Decision On NOAKA Delayed: The NOAKA project is not factored into our rating case but could substantially increase Aker BP's production, although there is some uncertainty with regards to its scope and timing. The project consists of several separate oil and gas discoveries operated by either Equinor or

Aker BP. It has been delayed as the two companies are trying to choose between two alternative development concepts. It is not clear yet whether Aker BP and Equinor will end up developing NOAKA together or do so independently, which may be less economical and, in our view, may imply asset swaps.

Sanctioning NOAKA will likely result in increased capital intensity compared with our base case and the need to increase borrowings over the medium term, although this would have to be assessed against Aker BP's higher operating cash flows and improved business profile. NOAKA may contribute around 100kboepd of incremental production to Aker BP from 2022-23, and the company's net contingent reserves (2C) associated with NOAKA are around 300 million barrels of oil equivalent (MMboe).

Low Production Costs: Aker BP's production costs are low for a company focusing predominantly on liquids. In 2018, the company estimated its unit production costs at USD12/boe, and expects this will fall to USD7-10/boe once Johan Sverdrup and other projects go into production. Aker BP targets full-cycle project break-even oil price below USD35/boe, and its projects in the pipeline are in line with this threshold. Low production and full-cycle costs hedge Aker BP against a low-oil price scenario.

Strong Reserve Life Replacement: Based on 2018 production, the company's reserve life is strong at 12 years for proved (1P) and 16 years for proved and possible (2P), although this is likely to somewhat fall and become more in line with that of peers as Aker BP ramps up production. Over 2016-2018, the company increased its 1P reserves to 683MMboe from 374MMboe, and 2P reserves to 917MMboe from 498MMboe, mainly as a result of its merger with BP Norge in 2016 and acquisition of Hess Norge in 2017. The organic reserve replacement ratio over the years was also strong at around 140% for 1P and around 150% for 2P. In addition, Aker BP assesses its contingent (2C) reserves at 946MMboe, mainly represented by the NOAKA project and Valhall Area.

Conservative Financial Profile: We view Aker BP's leverage target of maintaining net debt to EBITDAX below 1.5x as reasonably conservative. Based on our expectations for taxes, exploration, decommissioning and operating lease charges, this target roughly translates into funds from operations (FFO) adjusted net leverage between 2.25x-2.75x. Our base case forecasts FFO adjusted net leverage to increase to 2.4x at end-2019 from 1.0x at end-2018 and to moderate to below 2.0x in 2020-2021 before rising to 2.0x-2.5x in 2022-23. However, we recognise that leverage may be impacted by the timing of new potential projects and possibly acquisitions.

Dividends Could Pressurise FCF: Aker BP publicly targets dividends to grow to USD750 million in 2019 from USD450 million in 2018, and then to increase by USD100 million per year. While we expect FCF before dividends to be strong after 2019, FCF after dividends, assuming our conservative oil price assumptions, are forecast to turn negative beyond 2021 under our base case. However, this is mitigated by management's public aim to maintain net debt to EBITDAX below 1.5x, which we assume will translate into a moderation of cash dividends in case of underperformance, e.g. as a result of lower-than-assumed oil prices.

Our base case shows that Aker BP should have the capacity to increase dividends as planned and maintain leverage within our sensitivities.

Potential Upside From Exploration: We understand from management that after the acquisitions completed over the last several years, Aker BP has increased its focus on organic growth. Aker BP is well-positioned to increase its reserves through exploration, given that it has the highest number of licenses among other independent players on the NCS. In 2018-19, the company made two discoveries, both in the Alvhheim area - Frosk in February 2018 (gross resource estimate: 30-60MMboe, net to Aker BP: 20-39MMboe) and Froskelar in March 2019 (60-130MMboe gross, net estimates are not available as some of the resources may be located outside of the license area).

Stable Operating Environment: In contrast to many other oil producing countries, the operating environment in Norway (AAA/Stable) is strong, which supports Aker BP's rating. The oil and gas sector plays an important role in the economy, and over the past decade the government has taken steps to re-vitalise investors' interest towards the NCS. The tax rate is high (78%), but the effective tax rate paid by many

upstream companies, including Aker BP, is lower given deductions for decommissioning, exploration and additional capital allowances. We view the Norwegian tax system as mature and stable, which implies relatively low tax and regulatory risks compared to developing countries. We do not think that major changes in regulation are likely in the foreseeable future.

Rating On Standalone Basis: Aker BP is owned by Aker ASA (40%) and BP plc (A/Stable, 30%), with the rest of shares being free float. We rate Aker BP on a standalone basis as there is no control from any of its shareholders. The presence of two strong and experienced shareholders in the structure supports the rating. In particular, we think that Aker BP has strategic importance for BP, and the company's default would have very negative reputational consequences for BP.

Derivation Summary

Aker BP is one of the largest European E&P companies by the level of production and reserves and focusing exclusively on the NCS. The company's production should exceed 200kboepd once the enormous John Sverdrup field off Norway, in which it has an 11.6% stake, comes on stream in late 2019. Its longer-term production potential is larger with management's guidance above 400kboepd. The level of production Aker BP should achieve in 2020 is consistent with the lower end of the 'BBB' category, although lower than that of Noble Energy (BBB-/Positive, 346kboepd in 2018) and Hess Corporation (BBB-/Negative, 277kboepd). However, Aker BP's lower scale of production is offset by its low costs, robust profitability, high optionality with regards to capex given its operatorship status in most of the projects and supportive regulatory regime in Norway.

Aker BP's policy is to increase dividends but at the same time to maintain debt level at a reasonably conservative level. Under the base case we forecast FFO adjusted net leverage to remain below 2.5x in 2019-2023, and to be broadly in line with that of Encana Corporation (BBB/Stable), Noble Energy and Hess Corporation.

No Parent/Subsidiary Linkage, Country Ceiling constraints, or Operating Environment factors influenced the rating.

Key Assumptions

Fitch's Key Assumptions Within Our Rating Case for the Issuer:

- Oil price deck: USD65/bbl in 2019, USD62.5/bbl in 2020, USD60/bbl in 2021, and USD57.5/bbl in 2022-23
- Upstream production rising to around 210kboepd in 2020 and to around 240kboepd by 2023 as Johan Sverdrup and other projects starting up and ramping up
- Around 70% of the company's prospective projects are sanctioned, excluding NOAKA; capex averaging USD1.2 billion over 2019-2023
- Taxes rising to around USD600 million in 2019 and to above USD1 billion per year in 2020-2023
- Dividends increasing in line with the stated target: USD750 million in 2019 rising by USD100 million per year

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Developments That May, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action

Production growth sustainably above 300MMbpd while keeping FFO adjusted net leverage below 2.0x could lead to an upgrade to 'BBB'.

Developments That May, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action

- FFO adjusted net leverage consistently above 2.75x (2019E: 2.4x)
- Production remaining below 175MMbpd due to delays with greenfield projects (including Johan Sverdrup) and/or higher-than-expected production declines at brownfields
- Inability to replenish reserves and/or proved reserve life falling below 10 years.

Liquidity and Debt Structure

Strong Liquidity: Aker BP's liquidity position is strong. It has obtained a USD4 billion senior unsecured revolving credit facility (RCF) to refinance its USD4 billion reserve-based lending facility. The new RCF includes a USD2 billion working capital facility due in 2022 and a USD2 billion liquidity facility due in 2024 and potentially extendable by two years. At end-2018 Aker BP had no short-term debt, and the nearest maturity is a USD224 million bond due 2020. According to our base case, the company has substantial liquidity buffers until 2022.

Summary of Financial Adjustments

Rigs Excluded From Capitalised Leases: We capitalise Aker BP's operating leases using the standard 8x multiple with the exception of rig lease payments. Rigs are used for the purposes of exploration and development drilling rather than for on-going production activity, and are normally contracted for a relatively short period of time (one to three years) to match duration of exploration or development projects.

Date of Relevant Committee

24-May-2019

Sources of Information

RATING ACTIONS	
ENTITY/DEBT	RATING
Aker BP ASA	LT IDR BBB- ● New Rating

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS

Primary Rating Analyst

Dmitry Marinchenko

Senior Director

+44 20 3530 1056

Fitch Ratings Ltd

30 North Colonnade, Canary Wharf

London E14 5GN

Secondary Rating Analyst

Raman Singla

Associate Director

+44 20 3530 1728

Committee Chairperson

Myriam Affri

Senior Director

+44 20 3530 1919

MEDIA CONTACTS

Adrian Simpson

London

+44 20 3530 1010

adrian.simpson@thefitchgroup.com

Applicable Criteria

Corporate Rating Criteria (pub. 19 Feb 2019)

Additional Disclosures

Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form

Solicitation Status

Endorsement Policy

DISCLAIMER

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS

SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

COPYRIGHT

Copyright © 2019 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent

by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

SOLICITATION STATUS

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

Endorsement Policy

Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the EU Regulatory Disclosures page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.

Fitch Updates Terms of Use & Privacy Policy

We have updated our Terms of Use and Privacy Policies which cover all of Fitch Group's websites. [Learn more.](#)